

# Wet Tropics of Queensland (Section II)

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Please note that any change to this information needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee. The procedure and the deadline to submit this change is indicated in par. 167 of the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*: "A State Party may request that the Committee authorize a modification to the name of a property already inscribed on the World Heritage List. A request for a modification to the name shall be received by the Secretariat **at least three months prior to the meeting of the Committee**". For very minor changes, i.e. typing mistakes and not changes to content, please contact the World Heritage Centre at [wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org](mailto:wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org).

**Wet Tropics of Queensland**

Validate	✕	Update	
----------	---	--------	--

Your comment:

**note**  
Please note that any change to this information needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee. The procedure and the deadline to submit this change is indicated in par. 167 of the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*: "A State Party may request that the Committee authorize a modification to the name of a property already inscribed on the world heritage list. A request for a modification to the name shall be received by the secretariat **at least three months prior to the meeting of the Committee**". For very minor changes, i.e. typing mistakes and not changes to content, please contact the World Heritage Centre at [wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org](mailto:wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org).

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

This question reviews the basic data held on the World Heritage Centre database on the State Party, type of property, World Heritage Identification number, year of inscription on the World Heritage list and year of inscription and removal from the World Heritage in danger list if applicable. This information can be verified or updated where appropriate.

<b>State(s) Party(ies)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Australia</li> </ul>
<b>Type of Property</b>	natural
<b>Identification Number</b>	486
<b>Year of inscription on the World Heritage List</b>	1988

Validate	✕	Update	
----------	---	--------	--

Your comment:

**note**

Please explain below any change which needs to be made.

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

This table records information on the geographic coordinates of the area which enables an accurate location to be recorded on global maps for all properties. The format for coordinates should be latitude (i.e. degrees, minutes, seconds) and longitude (i.e. degrees, minutes, seconds). If the area of the inscribed property or of its buffer zone is greater than 100 ha, the figure should be rounded to the nearest whole hectare. This table will record details for each part of the property in the case of serial sites.

**Please note that any change to this information needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee.** The procedure and the deadline to submit this change is indicated in par. 163-165 of the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*:

"163. a minor modification is one which has not a significant impact on the extent of the property nor affects its Outstanding Universal Value.

164. If a State Party wishes to request a minor modification to the boundaries of a property already on the World Heritage List, it shall submit this by **1 February** to the Committee through the Secretariat, which will seek the advice of the relevant Advisory Bodies. The Committee can approve such modification, or it may consider that the modification to the boundary is sufficiently important to constitute an extension of the property, in which case the procedure for new nominations will apply.

165. If a State Party wishes to significantly modify the boundary of a property already on the World Heritage List, the State Party shall submit this proposal as if it were a new nomination. This re-nomination shall be presented by **1 February** and will be evaluated in the full year and a half cycle of evaluation according to the procedures and timetable outlined in paragraph 168. This provision applies to extensions, as well as reductions".

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Wet Tropics of Queensland	-15.65 / 144.967	894420	0	894420	1988
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>894420</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>894420</b>	
Validate	✕	Update			

Your comment:

**note**

**Please note that any change to this information needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee.** The procedure and the deadline to submit this change is indicated in par. 163-165 of the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*:

"163. A minor modification is one which has not a significant impact on the extent of the property nor affects its Outstanding Universal Value.

164. If a State Party wishes to request a minor modification to the boundaries of a property already on the World Heritage List, it shall submit this by **1 February** to the Committee through the Secretariat, which will seek the advice of the relevant Advisory Bodies. The Committee can approve such modification, or it may consider that the modification to the boundary is sufficiently important to constitute an extension of the property, in which case the procedure for new nominations will apply.

165. If a State Party wishes to significantly modify the boundary of a property already on the World Heritage List, the State Party shall submit this proposal as if it were a new nomination. This re-nomination shall be presented by **1 February** and will be evaluated in the full year and a half cycle of evaluation according to the procedures and timetable outlined in paragraph 168. This provision applies to extensions, as well as reductions".

---

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

This section of the Periodic Report reviews the basic data held on the World Heritage Centre database. If missing, (a) topographic or cadastral map(s) showing, at the largest available scale, the boundaries of the property as inscribed and, if applicable, its buffer zone (i.e. any buffer zone approved at the time of the inscription or submitted to the World Heritage Centre later and officially acknowledged by the World Heritage Committee) should be provided in hard-copy to the world heritage centre and in electronic format to [a.borchi@unesco.org](mailto:a.borchi@unesco.org).

Maps should include:

- a legend in English or French referring to the "Boundary of the World Heritage Property" and "Buffer zone of the World Heritage Property";
- a clearly labelled coordinate grid;
- a bar scale;
- the orientation (i.e. "north" must be indicated);
- the date the map was produced.



The preferred formats for digital maps are .tif, .jpg, .pdf.

**Please note that any change to this information needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee.** The procedure and the deadline to submit this change is indicated in par. 163-165 of the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*:

"163. A minor modification is one which has not a significant impact on the extent of the property nor affects its Outstanding Universal Value.

164. If a State Party wishes to request a minor modification to the boundaries of a property already on the World Heritage List, it shall submit this by **1 February** to the Committee through the Secretariat, which will seek the advice of the relevant Advisory Bodies. The Committee can approve such modification, or it may consider that the modification to the boundary is sufficiently important to constitute an extension of the property, in which case the procedure for new nominations will apply.

165. If a State Party wishes to significantly modify the boundary of a property already on the World Heritage List, the State Party shall submit this proposal as if it were a new nomination. This re-nomination shall be presented by **1 February** and will be evaluated in the full year and a half cycle of evaluation according to the procedures and timetable outlined in paragraph 168. This provision applies to extensions, as well as reductions".

Title	Date	Link to source
Wet Tropical Rainforests of North-East Australia	22/12/1987	
Map 1 of the Nomination file	01/01/1987	

Validate	✕	Update
----------	---	--------

**Your comment:**

The current maps do not meet the minimum cartographic standards specified in the guidance associated with this question:

1. Incorrect property name:
  - the name of the Property is the 'Wet Tropics of Queensland' not 'Wet Tropical Rainforests of North-east Australia'
2. The legend does not refer to the "Boundary of the World Heritage Property"
3. there is no clearly labelled coordinate grid
4. the orientation i.e. "north" is not indicated
5. the date the map was produced is missing

**note**

**Please note that any change to this information needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee.** The procedure and the deadline to submit this change is indicated in par. 163-165 of the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*:

"163. A minor modification is one which has not a significant impact on the extent of the property nor affects its Outstanding Universal Value.

164. If a State Party wishes to request a minor modification to the boundaries of a property already on the World Heritage List, it shall submit this by **1 February** to the Committee through the secretariat, which will seek the advice of the relevant Advisory Bodies. the Committee can approve such modification, or it may consider that the modification to the boundary is sufficiently important to constitute an extension of the property, in which case the procedure for new nominations will apply.

165. If a State Party wishes to significantly modify the boundary of a property already on the World Heritage List, the State Party shall submit this proposal as if it were a new nomination. This re-nomination shall be presented by **1 February** and will be evaluated in the full year and a half cycle of evaluation according to the procedures and timetable outlined in paragraph 168. This provision applies to extensions, as well as reductions".

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**

This question provides details of the primary government institution responsible for the World Heritage Property.

**Environment Australia**

**Address**

GPO Box 787  
 ACT 2601 Canberra  
 Australia

**Telephone**

81422

**Fax**

+61 2 6274 2095

**Email**

Validate		Update	X
----------	--	--------	---

**Your comment:**

Mr James Shevlin  
 First Assistant Secretary  
 Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities  
 GPO Box 787  
 ACT 2601

Ph: +61 2 6274 2121

Fax: +61 2 6274 2095

Email: James.Shevlin@environment.gov.au

**note**

If no information is provided here please provide details below. If the information provided is wrong please provide the correct information with an explanation of the changes.

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

Details of person/s who currently is the manager/coordinator of the property. The World Heritage Centre would like this information so it can contact managers to disseminate specific publications or events which might be of interest. If there is currently a vacancy, indicate the person who has been involved with the World Heritage property for the majority of time over the last 12 months.

**Wet Tropics Management Authority  
 Professor Tor HUNDLOE**

**Address**  
 PO Box 2050  
 Cairns QLD 4870  
 Australia

**Telephone**  
 81422

**Fax**  
 +61 7 4031 1364

**Email**

**Wet Tropics Management Authority  
 Max Chappell**  
 Manager - Planning and Research

**Address**  
 Cairns  
 Australia

**Email**  
[max.chappell@epa.qld.gov.au](mailto:max.chappell@epa.qld.gov.au)

Validate		Update	X
----------	--	--------	---

**Your comment:**

Wet Tropics Management Authority

Mr Andrew Maclean  
Executive Director  
PO Box 2050  
CAIRNS Queensland Australia

Email: Andrew.Maclean@derm.qld.gov.au

**note**

If no information is provided here please provide details below. If the information provided is wrong please provide the correct information with an explanation of the changes.

---

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

This records the official URL of any web site on the property that is approved by the person/organisation stated in question 1.6.

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [The Official Site for Australian Travel and Tourism Australia](#)
3. [Wet Tropics World Heritage Area](#)
4. [Natural site datasheet from WCMC](#)
5. [Wet Tropics \(Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service\)](#)
6. [Wet Tropics of Queensland \(Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts\)](#)

Validate

Update



**Your comment:**

1. <http://www.ourplaceworldheritage.com>
2. [Wet Tropics World Heritage Area](#)
3. [Wet Tropics \(Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service\)](#)
4. [Wet Tropics of Queensland \(Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities\)](#)
5. [The Official Site for Australian Travel and Tourism Australia](#)

**note**

Please provide updated url's here

---

**1.8 - Other designations/Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**

This section reviews the basic data held on the World Heritage Centre database in relation to other designations/conventions which are appropriate to the property. Please check these carefully and indicate any changes where appropriate.

Validate



Update

---

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value


**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value/Statement of Significance**

This question allows respondents to check if the information on the Outstanding Universal Value held by the World Heritage Centre is correct (i.e. includes no spelling or grammatical errors); question 2.4 below

provides the opportunity to comment on whether the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value adequately reflects the property's values. The term 'Outstanding Universal Value' (OUV) is the fundamental cornerstone of World Heritage (including nominations, periodic reporting, etc) and is the basis for the protection and management of the property.

Outstanding Universal Value is defined in paragraph 49 of the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention* as the "cultural and/or natural significance which is so exceptional as to transcend national boundaries and to be of common importance for present and future generations of all humanity. As such, the permanent protection of this heritage is of the highest importance to the international community as a whole". Interpreting the Outstanding Universal Value and applying it in practice is often difficult, but it remains at the heart of the philosophy of World Heritage.

**Please note that any change to this information needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee.** For very minor changes, i.e. typing mistakes and not changes to content, please contact the world heritage centre at [wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org](mailto:wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org)

Validate		Update	
----------	--	--------	---

**Your comment:**

A Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was submitted to the World Heritage Centre on 1 February 2011, awaiting World Heritage Committee approval in June 2012.

**note**

**Please note that any change to this information needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee.** For very minor changes, i.e. typing mistakes and not changes to content, please contact the World Heritage Centre at [wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org](mailto:wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org).

## 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

[Click here](#) to see the full criteria text and recent revisions to the numbering.

**Please note that any change to this information needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee.** The procedure and the deadline to submit this change is indicated in par. 166 of the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*: "Where a State Party wishes to have the property inscribed under additional or different criteria other than those used for the original inscription, it shall submit this request as if it were a new nomination. This re-nomination shall be presented by **1 February** and will be evaluated in the full year and a half cycle of evaluation according to the procedures and timetable outlined in paragraph 168. Properties recommended will only be evaluated under the new criteria and will remain on the World Heritage List even if unsuccessful in having additional criteria recognized".

For very minor changes, i.e. typing mistakes and not changes to content, please contact the World Heritage Centre at [wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org](mailto:wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org).

(vii)(viii)(ix)(x)

Validate		Update	
----------	---	--------	--

**Your comment:**

note

**Please note that any change to this information needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee.** The procedure and the deadline to submit this change is indicated in par. 166 of the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*: "Where a State Party wishes to have the property inscribed under additional or different criteria other than those used for the original inscription, it shall submit this request as if it were a new nomination. This re-nomination shall be presented by **1 February** and will be evaluated in the full year and a half cycle of evaluation according to the procedures and timetable outlined in paragraph 168. Properties recommended will only be evaluated under the new criteria and will remain on the World Heritage List even if unsuccessful in having additional criteria recognized". For very minor changes, i.e. typing mistakes and not changes to content, please contact the world heritage centre at [wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org](mailto:wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org).

---

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Please describe the attributes for each criterion.

<http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/places/world/wet-tropics/values.html>

---

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

This question provides the opportunity to comment on whether the statement of Outstanding Universal Value (see question 2.1) adequately reflects the value of the property. The term 'Outstanding Universal Value' is the fundamental cornerstone of World Heritage (including nominations, periodic reporting, etc) and is the basis for the protection and management of the property. Outstanding Universal Value is defined in paragraph 49 (and further elaborated upon in paragraph 155) of the Operational Guidelines as the cultural and/or natural significance which is so exceptional as to transcend national boundaries and to be of common importance for present and future generations of all humanity. as such, the permanent protection of this heritage is of the highest importance to the international community as a whole. Please note that any change to the statement of Outstanding Universal Value needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee. The procedure and deadlines to submit change are indicated in par. 166 of the Operational Guidelines.

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Please use this box to make any comments, i.e. explanations of the answers provided, sources of information used, and/or conclusions or recommendations about the information related to the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value above. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property







This section asks you to provide information on the range of factors which are affecting (either **currently** affecting or which have a **strong possibility** of affecting) the property, both **positively** and **negatively**. This generic list has been developed to identify factors which could affect any type of World Heritage property. The factors are grouped into 13 headings, which are then briefly explained. Beneath each heading a list of factors appears.

---



The first stage in the assessment is to click the box below each factor to indicate if this factor is **relevant** or not **relevant** to the property. Please note this assessment is not only about negative factors. Factors may be currently having an impact or there may be a strong likelihood of them affecting the property in the near future – if either is the case you should click the box marked relevant.

If the factor is not relevant then move on to the next factor; if the factor is relevant then a second line of assessment questions will appear.

This assessment will ask you about the impact and origin of factor. The first box asks whether the impact is **positive**  or **negative** ; the second whether it is **current**  or **potential**  and the third whether the origin of the factor is **inside**  the property or **outside**  of the property. In each of these three subsections at least one box must be clicked on.

At the end of the list of factors there is an opportunity (question 3.14) to add any additional factors which affect the property but which have not been covered in sections 3.1 to 3.13.

### 3.1. Buildings and Development

The physical 'footprint' in relatively localized areas including:




- Tourism facilities
- Recreation facilities
- Destruction of traditional buildings and other heritage;
- Modern construction
- Encroachment
- Deterioration/ loss of setting

**Note** - use (3.2) below for transportation related visitor facilities  
**Note** - see (3.4) below for visual pollution caused by any of the above factors

#### 3.1.1 - Housing

For example

- Urban high rise/urban sprawl
- Encroachment/changes to skyline, etc

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.1.1	Housing						

### 3.1.2 - Commercial development

For example

- Skyscrapers
- Large shopping malls
- Encroachment/changes to skyline etc

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.1.2	Commercial development						

### 3.1.3 - Industrial areas

For example




- Individual factories
- Industrial areas/parks
- Encroachment/changes to skyline etc

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.1.3	Industrial areas						

### 3.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

For example






- Major accommodation and associated infrastructure (hotels, restaurants, golf courses, ski resorts, etc)
- Major/permanent high cost tourism facilities (pontoons, jetties, observatories, cable cars , chalets, fully serviced camping areas, etc)

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						

### 3.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

For example

- Visitor interpretive facilities (visitor centre, site museum, etc)
- Signage etc
- Trail hardening (trail markers etc)
- Information booths etc
- Minor picnic facilities
- Minor camping areas
- Moorings/marker buoys

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities						

## 3.2. Transportation Infrastructure





The physical 'footprint' and derived effects of use (includes visitor transportation infrastructure)

---

### 3.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

For example:




- Roads
- Car parks
- Railways, including easements
- Transport depots

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure						

### 3.2.2 - Air transport infrastructure

For example:

- Airports
- Airstrips

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure						

### 3.2.3 - Marine transport infrastructure

For example:




- Harbour & port facilities

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure						

### 3.2.4 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

For example:

- Effects of vehicle traffic on roadways
- Effects of shipping traffic in shipping routes
- Effects of air traffic

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						






## 3.3. Services Infrastructures

Developments in relation to infrastructure for energy utilities (i.e. gas, electricity and water) and other service requirements

### 3.3.1 - Water infrastructure

For example:




- Dams
- Locks
- Water tanks
- Pumping stations
- Introduction of new systems/infrastructure

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.3.1	Water infrastructure						

### 3.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

For example:

- Thermal
- Wave
- Solar
- Wind

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities						

### 3.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

For example:




- Nuclear power plants
- Coal power plants
- Oil/gas facilities

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities						

### 3.3.4 - Localised utilities

For example:




- Incinerators
- Cell phone towers
- Sewerage works
- Microwave/TV/radio towers

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.3.4	Localised utilities						

### 3.3.5 - Major linear utilities

For example:

- Power lines/easements
- Pipelines etc
- Channels

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.3.5	Major linear utilities						

### 3.4. Pollution

All types of pollution (residential or commercial) as well as garbage, solid waste.

#### 3.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

For example:




- Ocean dumping
- Bilge water discharge
- Solid debris in marine environments

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.4.1	Pollution of marine waters						

#### 3.4.2 - Ground water pollution

For example:




- Oil / chemical spills
- Industrial effluent
- Agricultural runoff
- Household sewage/waste
- Acid sulphate soils
- Effluent discharge
- Mine/tailings runoff

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.4.2	Ground water pollution						

#### 3.4.3 - Surface water pollution

For example:

- Acid rain
- Mine/tailings runoff
- Agricultural runoff

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.4.3	Surface water pollution						

### 3.4.4 - Air pollution

For example:

- Excessive smoke or other airborne particulates
- Dust
- Local effects of emissions from use of fossil fuels

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.4.4	Air pollution						

### 3.4.5 - Solid waste

For example:

- Mine tailings
- Litter
- Industrial waste
- Household rubbish

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.4.5	Solid waste						

### 3.4.6 - Input of excess energy

For example:

- Any inputs of heat and light that disturb ecosystems including inappropriate urban lighting, heat pollution, etc

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.4.6	Input of excess energy						

### 3.5. Biological resource use/modification

The collecting/harvesting of wild plants and animals (forestry, fishing, hunting, gathering) and harvesting domesticated species (silviculture, agriculture, aquaculture)

#### 3.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

For example:

- Trawling
- Netting
- Line fishing
- Game fishing
- Collection/harvest fisheries
- Spearfishing
- By-catch/incidental take issues

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.1	Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						

#### 3.5.2 - Aquaculture

For example:




- Marine
- Freshwater aquaculture

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.2	Aquaculture						

#### 3.5.3 - Land conversion

For example:

- Agriculture (crops and livestock)
- Rural
- Forestry




	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.3	Land conversion						



### 3.5.4 - Livestock farming/grazing of domesticated animals

For example:

- Grazing on farms or by pastoral groups

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.4	Livestock farming/grazing of domesticated animals						

### 3.5.5 - Crop production

For example:

- Deep ploughing
- New crops
- Intensification of planted agriculture
- Traditional crops
- Traditional systems
- Gardening

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.5	Crop production						

### 3.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

For example:

- Pharmaceutical trade
- Medicinal plants
- Fodder collection
- Thatching
- Mushrooms
- Bulbs etc

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.6	Commercial wild plant collection						

### 3.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Use this question for Indigenous subsistence hunting, gathering and collecting, i.e. not for economic benefit, for example:

- Food plants
- Medicinal plants
- Fodder collection
- Thatching
- Mushrooms
- Bulbs etc

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection						

### 3.5.8 - Commercial hunting

For example:

- Bushmeat trade
- Guided game hunting

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.8	Commercial hunting						

### 3.5.9 - Subsistence hunting





Subsistence, i.e. not for economic benefit, hunting. Use (3.8.3) below to indicate factors relating specifically to Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.9	Subsistence hunting						

### 3.5.10 - Forestry /wood production

For example:

- Logging
- Pulp production
- All silvicultural operations
- Restoration/regeneration
- Sustainable wood harvesting

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production						

## 3.6. Physical resource extraction

If illegal see (3.9)




### 3.6.1 - Mining

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.6.1	Mining						

### 3.6.2 - Quarrying

For example:






- Rock
- Sand
- Aggregates

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.6.2	Quarrying						

### 3.6.3 - Oil and gas

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.6.3	Oil and gas						

### 3.6.4 - Water

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.6.4	Water						

### 3.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Environmental or biological factors that promote or contribute to deterioration processes of the fabric of heritage sites. Since decay effects cannot be attributed to a single factor, consider all elements.

Use 3.4.4 above for air pollution.

Use 3.10 below for severe weather incl. flooding.

For tourism activities 3.8.6.

#### 3.7.1 - Wind

For example:

- Erosion
- Vibration

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.7.1	Wind						

#### 3.7.2 - Relative humidity

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.7.2	Relative humidity						

#### 3.7.3 - Temperature

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.7.3	Temperature						

#### 3.7.4 - Radiation/light

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.7.4	Radiation/light						

### 3.7.5 - Dust

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.7.5	Dust						

### 3.7.6 - Water

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.7.6	Water						

### 3.7.7 - Pests

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.7.7	Pests						

### 3.7.8 - Micro-organisms

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.7.8	Micro-organisms						




### 3.8. Social/cultural uses of heritage

Social factors that contribute to deterioration processes of the fabric of heritage sites. Some uses might have a positive impact as they enhance certain values (eg ritual, religious) while others might compromise ascribed values and could lead to the deterioration of the heritage place. Use 3.1.4 and 3.1.5 above for impacts of tourism infrastructure and tourism activities in 3.8.6.

#### 3.8.1 - Ritual/spiritual/religious and associative uses

For example:






- Ritual/spiritual/religious uses and associations
- Festivals/performances

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.8.1	Ritual/spiritual/religious and associative uses						




#### 3.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

For example:

- Changes in values leading to new uses of heritage resources
- Expansions of / additions to current uses of heritage resources
- Conflicting values
- Abandonment

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage						

#### 3.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.8.3	Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting						

#### 3.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

For example:




- Loss of traditional knowledge and practices linked to heritage

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						

### 3.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

For example:





- Changes to identity and social cohesion
- Changes in livelihoods
- Migration to or from site
- Changes in local population and community

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						

### 3.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/visitor/recreation

For example:

- Inappropriate/non-existent interpretation
- High levels of visitation
- Increase of vendors inside/outside site
- Building community support, sustainable livelihoods

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism/visitor/recreation						

### 3.9. Other human activities

Note Use (3.8) above for impacts on local communities

#### 3.9.1 - Illegal activities

For example:

- Illegal extraction of biological resources (i.e. poaching)
- Blast fishing, cyanide fishing
- Illegal extraction of geological resources (mining/fossils)
- Illegal trade
- Illegal occupation of space
- Illegal excavations
- Illegal construction
- Looting
- Theft
- Treasure hunting
- Ghost nets (discarded fishing gear)

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.9.1	Illegal activities						




#### 3.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

For example:

- Vandalism
- Graffiti
- Politically motivated acts
- Arson

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage						

#### 3.9.3 - Military training

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.9.3	Military training						



### 3.9.4 - War

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.9.4	War						

### 3.9.5 - Terrorism

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.9.5	Terrorism						

### 3.9.6 - Civil unrest






	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.9.6	Civil unrest						

## 3.10. Climate change and severe weather events

### 3.10.1 - Storms

For example:





- Tornadoes
- Hurricanes/cyclones
- Gales
- Hail damage
- Lightning strikes
- River / stream overflows
- Extreme tides

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.10.1	Storms						

### 3.10.2 - Flooding

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.10.2	Flooding						

### 3.10.3 - Drought

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.10.3	Drought						

### 3.10.4 - Desertification

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.10.4	Desertification						






### 3.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

For example:






- Changes to water flow and circulation patterns at local, regional or global scale
- Changes to pH
- Changes to temperature

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.10.5	Changes to oceanic waters						

### 3.10.6 - Temperature change

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.10.6	Temperature change						

### 3.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts						

## 3.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

### 3.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.11.1	Volcanic eruption						

### 3.11.2 - Earthquake

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.11.2	Earthquake						

### 3.11.3 - Tsunami/tidal wave

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.11.3	Tsunami/tidal wave						

### 3.11.4 - Avalanche/ landslide

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide						






### 3.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/ deposition

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition						

### 3.11.6 - Fire

For example:

- Altered fire regimes
- High impact fire suppression activities
- Lightning strikes
- Accidental fires (i.e. dropped cigarettes) not ecological






	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.11.6	Fire						

## 3.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

### 3.12.1 - Translocated species

For example:






- Fish stocking
- Inappropriate plantings
- Introduced soil etc
- Dieback due to pathogens

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.12.1	Translocated species						

### 3.12.2 - Invasive/alien terrestrial species

For example:





- Weed
- Feral animal
- Rodent
- Insect pest
- Bird pest
- Disease/parasite
- Micro-organism

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species						

### 3.12.3 - Invasive / alien freshwater species

For example:

- Weed
- Invertebrate pests
- Fish pests
- Diseases/parasites
- Micro-organisms

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.12.3	Invasive / alien freshwater species						

### 3.12.4 - Invasive / alien marine species




For example:

- Weeds
- Invertebrate pests
- Fish pests
- Diseases/parasites
- Micro-organisms

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.12.4	Invasive / alien marine species						

### 3.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Naturally occurring species impacting ecosystem by virtue of ecological imbalance

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species						

### 3.12.6 - Modified genetic material

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.12.6	Modified genetic material						





### 3.13. Management and institutional factors

---

#### 3.13.1 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

For example:

- Visitor surveys
- Water sampling
- Non-extractive surveys
- In-situ surveys

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.13.1	Low impact research/monitoring activities						





#### 3.13.2 - High impact research/monitoring activities

For example:

- Sampling using destructive techniques
- Research involving removal of features or species (i.e. extraction)

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.13.2	High impact research/monitoring activities						

#### 3.13.3 - Management activities

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.13.3	Management activities						

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

Please add here any additional factors, up to a maximum of two factors, not covered by the list above, and describe them very briefly (maximum of 4 to 5 words per factor).

---

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

--

## 3.15. Factors Summary Table

This section is an automatically generated list of the factors affecting the property summarising the impacts and origins made in the assessment above. It is a good idea to review this table and check that it accurately represents the situation in the property. Please note that any additional factors which were added in question 3.14 will not be included in this table.

### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

This section is an automatically generated list of the factors affecting the property summarising the impacts and origins made in the assessment above. It is a good idea to review this table and check that it accurately represents the situation in the property. Please note that any additional factors which were added in question 3.14 will not be included in this table.

	Name	Impact					Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.1	Housing		⊖	🚩		🔄	
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	⊕		🚩		🔄	
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕		🚩	🚩	🔄	
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	⊕	⊖	🚩		🔄	
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure	⊕		🚩		🔄	
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure		⊖	🚩		🔄	
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
3.3.1	Water infrastructure		⊖	🚩	🚩	🔄	
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities		⊖	🚩		🔄	
3.3.4	Localised utilities		⊖	🚩		🔄	
3.3.5	Major linear utilities		⊖	🚩		🔄	
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>						
3.4.2	Ground water pollution		⊖		🚩	🔄	
3.4.3	Surface water pollution		⊖	🚩		🔄	
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>						
3.5.3	Land conversion	⊕		🚩		🔄	
3.5.4	Livestock farming/grazing of domesticated animals		⊖	🚩		🔄	
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production	⊕		🚩		🔄	
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>						
3.6.2	Quarrying		⊖	🚩		🔄	
3.6.4	Water		⊖	🚩	🚩	🔄	



	Name	Impact						Origin	
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>								
3.8.1	Ritual/spiritual/religious and associative uses								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.3	Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting								
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism/visitor/recreation								
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>								
3.9.3	Military training								
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>								
3.10.1	Storms								
3.10.3	Drought								
3.10.6	Temperature change								
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts								
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>								
3.11.6	Fire								
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>								
3.12.1	Translocated species								
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species								
3.12.3	Invasive / alien freshwater species								
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species								
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>								
3.13.1	Low impact research/monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
<b>Legend</b>		Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

An automated table will appear when you open this page containing all the negative impacts identified. For each factor a five part assessment then follows which looks at:

**Spatial scale.** which asks if the area affected by the factor is **restricted** (i.e. over less than 10% of the properties area at anyone time); **localised** (i.e. between affecting between 11 and 50%); **extensive** (i.e. 51-90%) or **widespread** (i.e. 91-100%).

**Temporal scale.** which asks about the occurrence of the impact, and whether it is **one off or rare**; **intermittent or sporadic**; **frequent** or **on-going**.

**Impact.** which assesses if the impact on the attributes (see question 2.3) that express the Outstanding Universal Value are **insignificant**; **minor**; **significant** or **catastrophic**.

**Management response.** which assesses the capacity (i.e. staff time, resources, budget, knowledge) of management to respond to the negative factors as being of either: **high capacity**; **medium capacity**; **low capacity** or **no capacity and/or resources**.

**Trend.** which asks how the trend has developed over the last 6 years and whether the overall impact of the negative factor is **decreasing**; **static** or **increasing**.

---

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

An automated table will appear when you open this page containing all the negative impacts identified. For each factor a five part assessment then follows which looks at:

1. **Spatial scale**, which asks if the area affected by the factor is **restricted** (i.e. over less than 10% of the properties area at anyone time); **localised** (i.e. between affecting between 11 and 50%); **extensive** (i.e. 51-90%) or **widespread** (i.e. 91-100%)
  2. **Temporal scale.** which asks about the occurrence of the impact, and whether it is **one off or rare**; **intermittent or sporadic**; **frequent** or **on-going**.
  3. **Impact**, which assesses if the impact on the attributes (see question 2.3) that express the outstanding universal value are **insignificant**; **minor**; **significant** or **catastrophic**
  4. **Management response**, which assesses the capacity (i.e. staff time, resources, budget, knowledge) of management to respond to the negative factors as being of either: **high capacity**, **medium capacity**, **low capacity** or **no capacity and/or resources**
  5. **Trend**, which asks how the trend has developed over the last 6 years and whether the overall impact of the negative factor is **decreasing**; **static** or **increasing**.
-

### 3.1. Buildings and Development

The physical 'footprint' in relatively localized areas including: Tourism and recreation facilities, modern construction, encroachment, deterioration/ loss of setting

#### 3.1.1 - Housing

For example: Urban high rise/urban sprawl, Encroachment/changes to skyline, etc

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✘	✘			✘

Spatial scale area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.2. Transportation Infrastructure

The physical 'footprint' and derived effects of use (includes visitor transportation infrastructure)

#### 3.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

For example: , Roads, Car parks, Railways, including easements, Transport depots

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
✘	✘	✘		✘	

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.2.4 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

For example: Effects of vehicle traffic on roadways, Effects of shipping traffic in shipping routes, Effects of air traffic

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✘	✘		✘	

Spatial scale area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.3. Services Infrastructures

Developments in relation to infrastructure for energy utilities (i.e. gas, electricity and water) and other service requirements

#### 3.3.1 - Water infrastructure

For example: , Dams, Locks, Water tanks, Pumping stations, Introduction of new systems/infrastructure

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

Spatial scale	
Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

For example: Thermal, Wave, Solar, Wind

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✘	✘		✘	

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.3.4 - Localised utilities

For example: Incinerators, Cell phone towers, Sewerage works, Microwave/TV/radio towers

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✗	✗		✗	

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>



### 3.3.5 - Major linear utilities

For example: Power lines/easements, Pipelines etc, Channels

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✘	✘		✘	

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.4.3 - Surface water pollution

For example: Acid rain, Mine/tailings runoff, Agricultural runoff

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✗	✗			✗

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.5.4 - Livestock farming/grazing of domesticated animals

For example: Grazing on farms or by pastoral groups

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✗	✗		✗	

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.6.2 - Quarrying

For example: Rock, Sand, Aggregates

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✗	✗		✗	

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.6.4 - Water

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

Spatial scale area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.9.3 - Military training

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✗	✗		✗	

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.10. Climate change and severe weather events

#### 3.10.1 - Storms

For example: Tornadoes, Hurricanes/cyclones, Gales, Hail damage, Lightning strikes, River / stream overflows, Extreme tides

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.10.6 - Temperature change

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>



### 3.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.11.6 - Fire

For example: Altered fire regimes, High impact fire suppression activities, Lightning strikes, Accidental fires (i.e. dropped cigarettes) not ecological

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

#### 3.12.1 - Translocated species

For example: Fish stocking, Inappropriate plantings, Introduced soil etc, Dieback due to pathogens

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.12.2 - Invasive/alien terrestrial species

For example: Weed, Feral animal, Rodent, Insect pest, Bird pest, Disease/parasite, Micro-organism

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.12.3 - Invasive / alien freshwater species

For example: Weed, Invertebrate pests, Fish pests, Diseases/parasites, Micro-organisms

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✗	✗		✗	✗

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

### 3.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Naturally occurring species impacting ecosystem by virtue of ecological imbalance

Impact				Origin	
Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
	✘	✘		✘	

Spatial scale	
area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>restricted</b> over less than 10% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>localised</b> affecting between 11 and 50% of the properties area at any one time
<input type="radio"/>	<b>extensive</b> affecting between 51-90% of the properties area at any one time\
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Widespread</b> affecting between 91-100% of the properties area at any one time

Temporal scale	
occurrence of the impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>one off or rare</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>intermittent or sporadic</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Frequent</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>on-going</b>

Impact	
Impact on the attributes that express the outstanding universal value	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Insignificant</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Minor</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Significant</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Catastrophic</b>

Management response	
capacity of management to respond to the negative factors	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>high capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>medium capacity</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>low capacity</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>no capacity and/or resources</b>

Trend	
trend over the last 6 years - the overall impact of the negative factor is:	
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Decreasing</b>
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<b>Static</b>
<input type="radio"/>	<b>Increasing</b>

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

This section of the periodic report focuses on practical issues of management, and aims to assess the effectiveness of protection, management and monitoring on the property and its Outstanding Universal Value.

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

Buffer zones in this context relate to those buffer zones submitted and acknowledged by the World Heritage Committee.

Check only one box		
4.1.1.1	There is a buffer zone	
4.1.1.2	There is no buffer zone, and it is not needed	X
4.1.1.3	There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one	

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

In this multiple-choice question, please refer to the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value you provided in Section 2. Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property.

Check only one box		
4.1.2.1	<b>Inadequacies</b> in the boundaries make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
4.1.2.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property <b>do not limit</b> the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved	
4.1.2.3	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are <b>adequate</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	X

**4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

Buffer zones in this context relate to those buffer zones submitted and acknowledged by the World Heritage Committee. In this multiple-choice question, please refer also to the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value you provided in Section 2. Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property.

Check only one box		
4.1.3.1	The property had <b>no buffer zone</b> at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.1.3.2	<b>Inadequacies in the buffer zones</b> of the World Heritage property make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.1.3.3	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>do not limit the</b> ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value <b>but they could be improved</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.1.3.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>are adequate</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	<input type="checkbox"/>

**4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property.

Check only one box		
4.1.4.1	The boundaries of the World Heritage property <b>are not known</b> by the management authority or local residents/communities/landowners.	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.1.4.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but <b>are not known by local residents/communities/landowners.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.1.4.3	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents/communities/landowners.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?**

Buffer zones in this context relate to those buffer zones submitted and acknowledged by the World Heritage committee. Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property.

Check only one box		
4.1.5.1	The property had <b>no buffer zone</b> at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.1.5.2	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>are not known</b> by the management authority or local residents/communities/landowners.	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.1.5.3	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but <b>are not known by local residents/communities/landowners.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.1.5.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>are known</b> by both the management authority and local residents/communities/landowners.	<input type="checkbox"/>



#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Please use this box to make any comments, i.e. explanation of the answers provided, sources of information used, and/or conclusions or recommendations about information related to the boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

## 4.2. Protective Measures

---

### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

This question seeks information about the primary basis for the protection of the inscribed property; please identify whether the protection is primarily the legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/ or traditional status of the property. Please provide the year of designation and the legislative act(s) under which the status is provided. If the document cannot be provided in English or French, an English or French executive summary should be provided highlighting the key provisions.

#### Commonwealth legislation

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)

#### State legislation

- Wet Tropics World Heritage Protection and Management Act 1993
- Wet Tropics Management Plan 1998
- Nature Conservation Act 1992
- Vegetation Management Act 1999
- Land Act 1994
- Integrated Planning Act 1997

Validate

Update



#### Your comment:

##### Commonwealth legislation

- Environment protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)

##### State legislation

- Wet Tropics World Heritage Protection and Management Act 1993
- Wet Tropics Management Plan 1998
- Nature Conservation Act 1992
- Vegetation Management Act 1999
- Land Act 1994
- Sustainable Planning Act 2009

#### note

If the information which appears here is incorrect please use the box below to update the text.

**4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property. In this multiple-choice answer, please refer also to the answers you provided in 2.1 etc.

The question can help identify if there is no legal framework (i.e., there are no laws that specifically provide protection to the property), or these laws are not adequate to maintain the OUV for the property or its integrity and/or authenticity.

Check only one box		
4.2.2.1	The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is <b>inadequate</b>	
4.2.2.2	An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are <b>some deficiencies in implementation</b>	
4.2.2.3	The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides <b>an adequate or better basis</b> for effective management and protection	<b>X</b>

**4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

Buffer zone in this context relate to those buffer zones submitted and acknowledged by the World Heritage Committee. Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property. In this multiple-choice answer, please consider the answer you gave in 4.2.1, and consider which of these applies in the buffer zone.

The question can help identify if there is no legal framework (that is, there are no laws that apply in the buffer zone that specifically provide protection to the property), or if these laws are not adequate to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property.

Check only one box		
4.2.3.1	The property had <b>no buffer zone at the time of inscription</b> on the World Heritage List	<b>X</b>
4.2.3.2	The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property <b>is inadequate</b>	
4.2.3.3	An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are <b>some deficiencies in implementation</b>	
4.2.3.4	The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides <b>an adequate or better basis</b> for effective management and protection	

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property. In this multiple-choice answer, please consider the answer you gave in 4.2.1, and consider which of these applies outside the buffer zone (if one exists) and outside the property.

Check only one box		
4.2.4.1	There is <b>no legal framework</b> for controlling use and activities in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone	
4.2.4.2	The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone is <b>inadequate</b> to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
4.2.4.3	An <b>adequate</b> legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but <b>there are some deficiencies in its implementation</b> which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	✘
4.2.4.4	The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an <b>adequate or better basis</b> for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity	

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and/ or regulation) be enforced?**

In this multiple-choice answer, please assess the existing level of enforcement and compliance within your World Heritage property; noting if there is little or no effective capacity or resources for enforcement or little actual enforcement occurring.

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property.

Check only one box		
4.2.5.1	There is <b>no effective capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property	
4.2.5.2	There are <b>major deficiencies</b> in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property	
4.2.5.3	There is <b>acceptable</b> capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain	
4.2.5.4	There is <b>excellent</b> capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property	✘

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to protective measures

Please use this box to make any comments, i.e. explanation of the answers provided, sources of information used, and/or conclusions or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

All World Heritage properties in Australia are 'matters of national environmental significance' protected and managed under national legislation, the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. This Act is the statutory instrument for implementing Australia's obligations under a number of multilateral environmental agreements, such as the World Heritage Convention, the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Ramsar Convention. By law, any action that has, will have or is likely to have a significant impact on the World Heritage values of a World Heritage property must be referred to the responsible Minister for consideration. Substantial penalties apply for taking such an action without approval. Once a heritage place is listed, the Act provides for the preparation of management plans which set out the significant heritage aspects of the place and how the values of the site will be managed. Importantly, this Act also aims to protect matters of national environmental significance, such as World Heritage properties, from impacts even if they originate outside the property or if the values of the property are mobile (as in fauna). It thus forms an additional layer of protection designed to protect values of World Heritage properties from external impacts. The Act has been tested in court in relation to protection of the values of World Heritage properties.

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan


---

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

This question seeks information about the various management tools used to help protect the inscribed property; for example, you should identify the following types of management tools which assist in protection, such as:

- A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
- Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)
- Permits
- An annual work plan or business plan
- 'Code of practice' developed by industry or user groups
- Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between user groups and managers or between different managing agencies
- Traditional/customary arrangements recognised by the traditional inhabitants of the area.

There is a Management Plan in place for this property

Validate		Update	
----------	---	--------	--

**Your comment:**





**note**

If the information which appears here is incorrect please use the box below to update the text.

---

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

This section will list the current known documents for management; e.g. any of the documents listed in 4.3.1 above that are currently in force, or in the process of approval or revision for your World Heritage property. A copy of the various management documents should be lodged with the World Heritage Centre.

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Proposal for the Conservation and Sustainable Resource Utilisation of the Wet Tropical Rainforests of North East Queensland. Summary.	N/A	Available	01/01/1988	
Wet Tropics Management Plan 1998, reprinted as in force on 25 June 2005	In the process of revision	Available	01/01/1998	
Wet Tropics Management Plan 1998, reprinted as in force on 25 June 2005	In the process of revision	Available	01/01/1998	
Validate	Update			

#### Your comment:

Wet Tropics Management Plan 1998 reprinted as in force 18 December 2009  
<http://legislation.govnet.qld.gov.au/LEGISLTN/CURRENT/W/WetTropMgmtP98.pdf>

#### note

If the information which appears here is incorrect please use the box below to update the text.

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property. Please note answers given to questions 1.5 and 1.6.

Check only one box	
4.3.3.1	There is a range of administrative bodies / levels involved in management but there is <b>little or no coordination</b> between them for managing different aspects of the property
4.3.3.2	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property <b>but it could be improved</b>
4.3.3.3	There is <b>excellent coordination</b> between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

If the management system is not comprehensive and fully adequate to maintain all aspects of the Outstanding Universal Value for the property, then please check one of the top three answers. Please also consider the answers you gave in 2.1. and 4.3.1.

Check only one box		
4.3.4.1	<b>No management system/plan is currently in place</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
4.3.4.2	The management system/plan is <b>not adequate</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
4.3.4.3	The management system/plan is only <b>partially adequate</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
4.3.4.4	The management system/plan is <b>fully adequate</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property. Management systems are discussed in paragraphs 108-118 of the Operational Guidelines for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention.

Please consider the answers you gave in 4.3.1. You may have a management system (i.e. more than one of the components in 4.3.1), but if it is not being effectively implemented and monitored, then please check one of the top three answers.

Check only one box		
4.3.5.1	<b>No management system</b> is currently in place	
4.3.5.2	The management system <b>is not being implemented</b>	
4.3.5.3	The management system is <b>only partially</b> being implemented	
4.3.5.4	The management system is being <b>fully</b> implemented and monitored	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property. Please consider the answer you gave in 4.3.1. and 4.3.5.

Check only one box		
4.3.6.1	No annual work/action plan exists	
4.3.6.2	No annual work/action plan exists <b>despite an identified need</b>	
4.3.6.3	An annual work/action plan exists but <b>few of the activities</b> are being implemented	
4.3.6.4	An annual work/action plan exists and <b>many activities</b> are being implemented	
4.3.6.5	An annual work/action plan exists and <b>most or all activities</b> are being implemented and monitored	X

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship of the following with World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff**

Please consider the scale shown and rate the relevant groups accordingly. For example, if the relationship between your property managers and the local communities/residents is rated as 'average', then check the box next to 'local communities/residents' as appropriate. Please note that question 4.7.5. asks for a more detailed assessment of the relationship with the tourism industry.

Rating on a 4 point scale	0 Not applicable	1 Non-existent	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good
4.3.7.1	Local communities/residents			3	Fair
4.3.7.2	Local/Municipal authorities			4	Good
4.3.7.3	Indigenous peoples			4	Good
4.3.7.4	Landowners			4	Good
4.3.7.5	Visitors			4	Good
4.3.7.6	Researchers			4	Good
4.3.7.7	Tourism industry			4	Good
4.3.7.8	Industry			4	Good

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property. This question goes beyond looking at the relationship or dialogue between managers/coordinators and local communities and assess direct involvement, e.g. by taking part in assessments of management effectiveness of the property or direct involvement in management planning, or in management decisions relating to the Outstanding Universal Value of the property.

Check only one box		
4.3.8.1	No local communities are resident in or living near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone	
4.3.8.2	Local communities have <b>no input</b> into decisions relating to the management	
4.3.8.3	Local communities have <b>some input</b> into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management	X
4.3.8.4	Local communities <b>directly contribute</b> to some decisions relating to management	
4.3.8.5	Local communities directly <b>participate</b> in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management	

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

This question goes beyond looking at the relationship or dialogue between managers/coordinators and indigenous people and assesses direct involvement in management, e.g. by taking part in assessments of management effectiveness of the property, having direct involvement in management planning, or in management decisions relating to the Outstanding Universal Value of the property. Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property.

Check only one box		
4.3.9.1	No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone	
4.3.9.2	Indigenous peoples have <b>no input</b> into decisions relating to the management	
4.3.9.3	Indigenous peoples have <b>some input</b> into discussions relating to management but no direct role	
4.3.9.4	Indigenous peoples directly contribute to <b>some decisions</b> relating to management but their involvement could be improved	X
4.3.9.5	Indigenous peoples directly participate in <b>all relevant</b> decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management	



**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property. Please consider both the level of contact there is with key industry(ies) and whether this leads to co-ordination regarding management with the industry(ies) that are involved either within or adjacent to the World Heritage property. Please note that question 4.7.5. asks for a more detailed assessment of the relationship with commercial tour operators in particular.

Check only one box		
4.3.10.1	There is <b>little or no contact</b> with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone	
4.3.10.2	There is contact but <b>little or no cooperation</b> with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone	
4.3.10.3	There is contact but only <b>some cooperation</b> with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone	
4.3.10.4	There is <b>regular contact</b> with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and <b>substantial co-operation</b> on management	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to management system/plan**

Please use this box to make any comments, i.e. explanation of the answers provided, sources of information used, and/or conclusions or recommendations about the information related to management systems and planning at the World Heritage property. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and/or contractual/traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

This text field should record if there has been a significant change in the legal status and/or contractual/traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the property since inscription or the last Periodic Report. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

## 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (Do not provide monetary figures but the relative percentage of the funding sources)

**Do not** provide monetary figures for your answer. The annual operating costs for the inscribed property based on the average of the last five years should be recorded here as one or more percentages against the different funding sources, ensuring all figures provided add up to total 100%.

If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use question 4. 4.14. to provide more details.

<b>Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)</b>	0%	%
<b>International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc)</b>	0%	%
<b>Governmental (National/Federal)</b>	20	%
<b>Governmental (Regional/Provincial/State)</b>	80	%
<b>Governmental (Local/Municipal)</b>	0	%
<b>In country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc)</b>	0	%
<b>Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)</b>	0	%
<b>Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)</b>	0	%
<b>Other grants</b>	0	%

### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

This question records (if applicable) funding received from the World Heritage Fund. If the correct details are not shown here then please show the amount (in USD) and the year the funding was received in the note box provided. If not applicable please tick the update box and enter 'not applicable' in the text box.

Validate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Update</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	---------------	-------------------------------------

#### Your comment:

'not applicable'. No international assistance has been received from the World Heritage Fund.

#### note

If the information which appears here is incorrect please use the box below to update the text. If not applicable please enter 'not applicable' in the text box.

#### 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

In this multiple choice question, please consider the current available budget. Given that virtually all World Heritage properties require more funding, the multiple choice answers relate to the extent that available funding meets the basic management needs.

Check only one box		
4.4.3.1	There is <b>no budget</b> for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need	
4.4.3.2	The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage	
4.4.3.3	The available budget is <b>acceptable</b> but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs	
4.4.3.4	The available budget is <b>sufficient</b> but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard	X

#### 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Noting that most funding can be subject to sudden change, please answer this question considering the general situation over the period being reported (i.e. since the last periodic report or since inscription).

Check only one box		
4.4.4.1	Existing sources of funding are <b>not secure</b>	
4.4.4.2	The existing sources of funding <b>are secure</b> in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term	X

#### 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property. Please consider the actual economic benefits (e.g. actual funds flowing into the local community from tourism etc) from activities in and around the property, as well as the intangible economic benefits (e.g. environmental services or regional development) from the existence of the property.

Check only one box		
4.4.5.1	The World Heritage property <b>does not deliver any economic benefits</b> to local communities	
4.4.5.2	<b>Potential</b> economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed	
4.4.5.3	There is <b>some flow</b> of economic benefits to local communities	
4.4.5.4	There is a <b>major flow</b> of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property	X

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property in relation to the equipment, facilities and infrastructure needed to manage the World Heritage property.

Check only one box		
4.4.6.1	There are <b>little or no</b> equipment or facilities despite an identified need	
4.4.6.2	There are <b>some</b> equipment and facilities but overall these are <b>inadequate</b>	
4.4.6.3	There are <b>some</b> adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area <b>constrain</b> management at the World Heritage property	
4.4.6.4	There are <b>adequate</b> equipment and facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property. Please consider your answer to 4.4.6. and then consider whether regular maintenance of resources occurs to a level where those resources can regularly be used without requiring major repairs or replacement.

Check only one box		
4.4.7.1	There is <b>little or no</b> maintenance of existing equipment and facilities or no equipment and facilities, despite an identified need.	
4.4.7.2	There is some <b>ad hoc</b> maintenance of equipment and facilities	
4.4.7.3	There is <b>basic</b> maintenance of equipment and facilities	
4.4.7.4	Equipment and facilities are <b>well maintained</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

Please use this box to make any comments, i.e. explanation of the answers provided, sources of information used, and/or conclusions or recommendations about the information related to the finance and infrastructure of the World Heritage property. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

#### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage Property (% of total)

**Do not** provide actual numbers of management staff here, but rather provide the percentage against the two employment types. Please indicate the numbers of people involved in management for the inscribed property (base the percentages on the average of the last five years). Figures should add up to 100%.

<b>Full-time</b>	98%	%
<b>Part-time</b>	2%	%

#### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage Property (% of total)

**Do not** provide actual numbers of management staff here, but rather the percentage against the various employment types. Please indicate the numbers of people involved in management for the inscribed property (base the percentages on the average of the last five years). Figures should add up to 100%.

<b>Permanent</b>	98%	%
<b>Seasonal</b>	2%	%

#### 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage Property (% of total)

**Do not** provide actual numbers of management staff here, but rather the percentage against the various employment types. Please indicate the numbers of people involved in management for the inscribed property (base the percentages on the average of the last five years). Figures should add up to 100%.

<b>Paid</b>	100%	%
<b>Volunteer</b>	0%	%

#### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Bearing in mind the answers to 4.4.9 please rate how adequate the human resources are in relation to the management needs of the World Heritage property.

Check only one box		
4.4.12.1	<b>No human resources are dedicated</b> to managing the property despite an identified need	
4.4.12.2	Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for management needs	
4.4.12.3	A range of human resources exist, but these are <b>below optimum</b> to manage the World Heritage Property.	
4.4.12.4	Human resources are <b>adequate</b> for management needs	<b>X</b>

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Please rate each of the disciplines in the list, rating the availability of professional assistance (whether full time, part time, contract worker, volunteer or unpaid assistance) to your World Heritage property on a scale of 0-4 (0 = not applicable to 4 = good). For example, you may have a university that annually provides some unpaid assistance conducting research and monitoring in your property, in which case you might rate that as a '3'; or perhaps the local police assist very irregularly with enforcement, so, you might rate that as a '2'.

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 Non-existent	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good
4.4.13.1	Research and monitoring				4	Good
4.4.13.2	Promotion				4	Good
4.4.13.3	Community outreach				3	Fair
4.4.13.4	Interpretation				3	Fair
4.4.13.5	Education				4	Good
4.4.13.6	Visitor management				4	Good
4.4.13.7	Conservation				4	Good
4.4.13.8	Administration				4	Good
4.4.13.9	Risk preparedness				3	Fair
4.4.13.10	Tourism				4	Good
4.4.13.11	Enforcement (custodians, police)				4	Good

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Please rate the availability of training opportunities for all the disciplines listed here as either: not available, low, medium or high. Please tick as not applicable if a certain discipline is not relevant. Thus if few training opportunities concerning Conservation are available at the property, then training in Conservation should be ranked as low. However if there are many training opportunities at the property, then the availability of training should be rated as high.

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 Not available	2 Low	3 Medium	4 High
4.4.14.1	Research and monitoring			2	Low	
4.4.14.2	Promotion			2	Low	
4.4.14.3	Community outreach			3	Medium	
4.4.14.4	Interpretation			3	Medium	
4.4.14.5	Education			2	Low	
4.4.14.6	Visitor management			2	Low	
4.4.14.7	Conservation			2	Low	
4.4.14.8	Administration			2	Low	
4.4.14.9	Risk preparedness			3	Medium	
4.4.14.10	Tourism			2	Low	
4.4.14.11	Enforcement (custodians, police)			2	Low	

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property. This question assesses if capacity development is planned for and implemented; and in particular if capacity is built locally.

Check only one box		
4.4.15.1	No <b>capacity</b> development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
4.4.15.2	A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is <b>not being implemented</b>	
4.4.15.3	A capacity development plan or programme is in place and <b>partially implemented</b> ; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally <b>but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff</b>	
4.4.15.4	A capacity development plan or programme is <b>in place and fully implemented</b> ; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management	<b>X</b>

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Please use this box to make any comments, i.e. explanation of the answers provided, sources of information used, and/or conclusions or recommendations about the information related to human resources, expertise and training. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

## **4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property. If you need to expand on your answer or write any comments, please use question 4.5.5.

Check only one box		
4.5.1.1	There is <b>little or no knowledge</b> available about the values of the World Heritage property	
4.5.1.2	Knowledge about the World Heritage property is <b>not sufficient</b>	
4.5.1.3	Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is <b>sufficient</b> for most key areas <b>but there are gaps</b>	<b>X</b>
4.5.1.4	Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is <b>sufficient</b>	



**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property and which best indicates the extent to which any research into the World Heritage property is planned and meets the management needs.

Check only one box		
4.5.2.1	There is <b>no research</b> taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need	
4.5.2.2	There is a <b>small amount</b> of research, but it is not planned	
4.5.2.3	There is <b>considerable</b> research but it is <b>not directed</b> towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
4.5.2.4	There is a <b>comprehensive, integrated programme of research</b> , which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	✘

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question which best indicates the way World Heritage research results are disseminated.

Check only one box		
4.5.3.1	Research results are <b>not shared</b> at any level	
4.5.3.2	Research results <b>are shared with local partners</b> but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	
4.5.3.3	Research results are <b>shared with local participants and some national agencies</b>	
4.5.3.4	Research results are <b>shared widely</b> with the local, national and international audiences	✘

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last periodic report**

Please list here **key** papers about the World Heritage property which have been published since the last periodic report, giving where possible details of author/s and the title, date published, publisher and, if possible, a URL link to the paper or abstract.

Scientific publications that were authored or contributed to by Rainforest CRC researchers between 1993 and 2006 are listed in: [http://www.rrrc.org.au/rfcc/downloads/rainforestcrc\\_library.pdf](http://www.rrrc.org.au/rfcc/downloads/rainforestcrc_library.pdf)

Since July 2006, Marine and Tropical Science Research Facility (MTRSF) replaced the Rainforest CRC. Scientific publications are listed in: [http://www.rrrc.org.au/publications/journal\\_articles.html](http://www.rrrc.org.au/publications/journal_articles.html)

## 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

### 4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Detail where the [World Heritage emblem](#) is displayed in the World Heritage property.

Check only one box		
4.6.1.1	<b>Not displayed</b> at all	
4.6.1.2	In one location, but <b>not easily visible</b> to visitors	
4.6.1.3	In <b>one location and easily visible</b> to visitors	
4.6.1.4	In <b>many locations, but not easily visible</b> to visitors	
4.6.1.5	In <b>many locations and easily visible</b> to visitors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### 4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Please rate for each of the groups listed the level of awareness and understanding of the reasons why the property is inscribed on the World Heritage list, on a scale from non-existent to excellent. Please rate all groups ticking the not applicable option if necessary.

Rating on a 4 point scale	0 Not applicable (0)	1 Non-existent (1)	2 Poor (2)	3 Average (3)	4 Excellent (4)
4.6.2.1				3	Average (3)
4.6.2.2				4	Excellent (4)
4.6.2.3				3	Average (3)
4.6.2.4				3	Average (3)
4.6.2.5				3	Average (3)
4.6.2.6				4	Excellent (4)
4.6.2.7				3	Average (3)

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the extent to which any education and awareness programmes in the World Heritage property are planned and contribute to the protection of the property.

Check only one box		
4.6.3.1	There is <b>no need</b> for an education and awareness programme	
4.6.3.2	There is <b>no education and awareness programme</b> , despite an identified need	
4.6.3.3	There is a <b>limited and ad hoc</b> education and awareness programme	
4.6.3.4	There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only <b>partly meets the needs</b> and could be improved	X
4.6.3.5	There is a <b>planned and effective</b> education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property	

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

In relation to the answer given to 4.6.3. please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the extent to which World Heritage property status has influenced any education, information and awareness programmes in relation to the property.

Check only one box		
4.6.4.1	World Heritage status has <b>not influenced</b> education, information or awareness building activities	
4.6.4.2	World Heritage status has <b>partially influenced</b> education, information and awareness building activities	
4.6.4.3	World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, <b>but it could be improved</b>	X
4.6.4.4	World Heritage status has been an <b>important influence</b> on education, information and awareness building activities.	

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

Bearing in mind the answer to the previous questions, please use this question to indicate the level to which the Outstanding Universal Value is presented and interpreted in the World Heritage property. Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely reflects the current status of the property.

Check only one box		
4.6.5.1	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is <b>not presented or interpreted</b>	
4.6.5.2	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is <b>not adequately</b> presented and interpreted	
4.6.5.3	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted <b>but improvements could be made</b>	X
4.6.5.4	There is <b>excellent presentation and interpretation</b> of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property	

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Please rate the adequacy of each of the listed facilities for providing education, information and public awareness for your World Heritage property, rating the level of adequacy from 1 = not provided but needed to 4 = excellent. Please answer all questions, ticking not needed if certain elements are not present and are not needed at a property.

Rating on a 4 point scale on adequacy		0 Not needed	1 Not provided but needed	2 Poor	3 Adequate	4 Excellent
4.6.6.1	Visitor centre		3	Adequate		
4.6.6.2	Site museum		1	Not provided but needed		
4.6.6.3	Information booths		2	Poor		
4.6.6.4	Guided tours		3	Adequate		
4.6.6.5	Trails/routes		3	Adequate		
4.6.6.6	Information materials		3	Adequate		
4.6.6.7	Transportation facilities		3	Adequate		
4.6.6.8	Other					

## 4.7. Visitor Management

### 4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Please rate visitation trends for each of the last five years, on a scale ranging from a decrease to a major increase. If the not applicable option is chosen please provide details of why no statistics are available in question 4.7.7.

Visitation rating scale	0 N/A	1 Decreasing	2 Static	3 Minor Increase	4 Major Increase (100%+)
4.7.1.1	Last year			2	Static
4.7.1.2	Two years ago			2	Static
4.7.1.3	Three years ago			2	Static
4.7.1.4	Four years ago			2	Static
4.7.1.5	Five years ago			2	Static


### 4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Please check the appropriate boxes to indicate which sources of information are used to collect data and the statistics reported in 4.7.1. If other information sources are used you can give details of these in the text box in section 4.7.7.

You can check multiple boxes		
4.7.2.1	Entry tickets and registries	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.7.2.2	Accommodation establishments	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.7.2.3	Transportation services	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.7.2.4	Tourism industry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.7.2.5	Visitor surveys	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.7.2.6	Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

#### 4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

This question should note if you have any documents in relation to visitor management and if this information is recorded on the World Heritage Centre database. If you need to provide any additional documentation or write any comments please mark the update box and space will be provided to record the additional information. If any visitor management plan is noted in the next question (4.7.4) please provide details of the plan here. If no documentation exists please validate the answer.

Title	Date	Link to source
Wet Tropics Nature Based Tourism Strategy	01/01/2000	
Validate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Update	

Your comment:

#### note

If the information which appears here is incorrect please use the box below to update the text.

#### 4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely describes the level to which visitor use is managed in the World Heritage property, bearing in mind the answer given to question 4.7.3. and whether this visitor management ensures that the Outstanding Universal Value is maintained, again bearing in mind the information provided on visitor numbers given in 4.7.1..

Check only one box		
4.7.4.1	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is <b>not being actively managed</b> despite an identified need	
4.7.4.2	There is <b>some management</b> of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	
4.7.4.3	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	
4.7.4.4	Visitor use of the world heritage property is <b>effectively managed</b> and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely describes the level to which the tourism industry in the World Heritage property contribute to presenting the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation of the site.

Check only one box		
4.7.5.1	Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is <b>little or no contact</b> between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property	
4.7.5.2	There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely <b>confined to administrative or regulatory matters</b>	
4.7.5.3	There is <b>limited co-operation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
4.7.5.4	There is <b>excellent co-operation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	<b>X</b>

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely describes the level to which any fees that are collected contribute to the management of the World Heritage property.

Check only one box		
4.7.6.1	<b>No fees are collected</b>	
4.7.6.2	While there is the <b>authority to collect fees they are not collected</b>	
4.7.6.3	The fee is collected, but it makes <b>no contribution</b> to the management of the World Heritage property	
4.7.6.4	The fee is collected, and makes <b>some contribution</b> to the management of the World Heritage property	<b>X</b>
4.7.6.5	The fee is collected and makes a <b>substantial contribution</b> to the management of the World Heritage property	

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

Please use this box to make any comments, i.e. explanation of the answers provided, sources of information used, and/or conclusions or recommendations about the information related to visitor use of the world heritage property. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

Source of data used in assessment of trends in visitor numbers over last five years:  
[http://www.cairnsgreatbarrierreef.org.au/Editor/pdf/FINAL\\_TTNQ\\_Strategic\\_Plan\\_2010-2011\\_May2010.pdf](http://www.cairnsgreatbarrierreef.org.au/Editor/pdf/FINAL_TTNQ_Strategic_Plan_2010-2011_May2010.pdf)

## 4.8. Monitoring

### 4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely describes the level of monitoring in the World Heritage property and how it contributes to the management needs of the property.

Check only one box		
4.8.1.1	There is <b>no monitoring</b> taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need	
4.8.1.2	There is a <b>small amount</b> of monitoring, but it is not planned	
4.8.1.3	There is considerable monitoring but it is <b>not directed towards management needs</b> and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
4.8.1.4	There is a <b>comprehensive, integrated programme</b> of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	✘

### 4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely describes the current situation as to whether key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used in monitoring the Outstanding Universal Value of the property have been agreed and how the monitoring of these indicators contributes to the management of the World Heritage property.

Check only one box		
4.8.2.1	There is <b>little or no information available</b> on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators	
4.8.2.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, <b>but this has not been done</b>	
4.8.2.3	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but <b>monitoring the status of indicators could be improved</b>	✘
4.8.2.4	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is <b>sufficient</b> for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation	



**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

Please rate the extent to which each of the listed groups are involved in the monitoring which takes place at the World Heritage property, rating the level of involvement from non-existent to excellent. Please only use the not applicable option when the particular group is not present at the property.

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 Non-existent	2 Poor	3 Average	4 Excellent
4.8.3.1	World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff				3	Average
4.8.3.2	Local/Municipal authorities				2	Poor
4.8.3.3	Local communities				1	Non-existent
4.8.3.4	Researchers				4	Excellent
4.8.3.5	NGOs				3	Average
4.8.3.6	Industry				3	Average
4.8.3.7	Local indigenous peoples				2	Poor

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Please indicate which of the multiple choice answers given to this question most closely describes the extent to which any recommendations from the World Heritage Committee have been implemented in the World Heritage property.

Check only one box		
4.8.4.1	<b>No relevant</b> Committee recommendations to implement	
4.8.4.2	Implementation is planned, but has <b>not yet begun</b>	
4.8.4.3	Implementation is <b>underway</b>	
4.8.4.4	Implementation is <b>complete</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

Please use this box to make any comments, i.e. explanation of the answers provided, sources of information used, and/or conclusions or recommendations about the information related to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

## 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

This section will automatically list all the management needs which have been highlighted in this section of the Periodic Report which require further action.

---

### 4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

This question will automatically list all the management needs which require attention following the completion of all the part 4 questions. **Please do not complete this question until you have answered all of part 4.**

If more than 6 management needs are identified here please tick the boxes by the side of those 6 which you think require the most attention. These 6 will then be exported to question 5.2 for a more detailed review activities being taken to address these needs. If 6 or less needs are identified then simply tick all the boxes and carry on to the next section.

Please refer to question 5.2

---

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

When you are using the electronic tool this section will list up to six of the most serious current negative factors which were identified in question 3.16. The factors are listed and whether this is an issue inside or outside of the property is indicated. In this summary section you will then be asked to discuss in the text boxes provided in what way/s the **World Heritage Criteria** (see question 2.2) **and attributes** (see question 2.3) are affected; what **actions** are being taken to deal with the negative factors; what **monitoring** is in place to determine the extent and scope of the factor and if the situation is getting better or worse; the **timeframe** for these activities (i.e. actions and monitoring) and the **lead agency (and others involved)** in undertaking these activities. There is also space to discuss any further information or make any additional **comment** regarding each factor. Please note these text boxes have a limit on the number of characters used (250 characters including spaces), this limit will appear as you type in your response.

3.2		Transportation Infrastructure					
		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info/comment
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Criterion (ii) biological evolution.</li> <li>• Criterion (iii) features of exceptional natural beauty.</li> </ul> <p><b>(95 characters)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• statutory plan: zoning; regulation; permit system; assessment guidelines and codes of practice, environmental management plans.</li> <li>• rope arboreal fauna overpasses and under road fauna tunnels constructed</li> <li>• design, construction guidelines published</li> </ul> <p><b>(248 characters)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Efficacy of mitigation approaches and effects on fragmentation of faunal populations monitored</li> <li>• Compliance with permit conditions assessed regularly</li> <li>• Use of different designs of fauna canopy overpasses</li> <li>• Fauna use of under-road tunnels</li> </ul> <p><b>(240 characters)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wet Tropics Management Plan gazetted: 1 Sept. 1998</li> <li>• Road policy: August 1998</li> <li>• Guidelines: Feb 1998 (updated April 2010)</li> <li>• Code of Practice: 2002</li> <li>• Fauna overpass</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Statutory management plan: Wet Tropics Management Authority (WTMA)</li> <li>• Road design, construction and maintenance: Queensland Department of Main Roads (DMR), local government</li> <li>• Monitoring: James Cook University, DMR, WTMA</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Management Plan: <a href="http://www.legislation.qld.gov.au/LEGISLTN/CURRENT/W/WetTropMgmtP98.pdf">http://www.legislation.qld.gov.au/LEGISLTN/CURRENT/W/WetTropMgmtP98.pdf</a></li> <li>• Code: <a href="http://www.wettropics.gov.au/mwha/mwha_pdf/s62_guidelines/s62_09road.pdf">http://www.wettropics.gov.au/mwha/mwha_pdf/s62_guidelines/s62_09road.pdf</a></li> <li>• Guidelines: <a href="http://www.rrrc.org.au/publications/roads_in_rainforest.html">http://www.rrrc.org.au/publications/roads_in_rainforest.html</a></li> </ul>

3.2 Transportation Infrastructure							
		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info/comment
					trials 1994-2010  • Fauna underpass trials 2001-2010  <b>(218 characters)</b>	• Assessment: WTMA  <b>(240 characters)</b>	<b>(247 characters)</b>

3.2 Transportation Infrastructure							
		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info/comment
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Criterion (iv) significant habitats where threatened species still survive.  <b>(76 characters)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic calming devices installed on key roads</li> <li>• Fauna signage installed to alert drivers</li> <li>• Restricted access roads in sensitive areas to regulate use</li> <li>• Research undertaken on impacts of vehicle noise, lights, pollution, edge effects and roadkill</li> </ul> <b>(249 characters)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Efficacy of mitigation approaches and effects on faunal road kill monitored</li> <li>• Traffic counts and use patterns monitored on key roads</li> <li>• Cassowary roadkills and injury monitored</li> <li>• Road kill monitoring on key roads</li> <li>• Traffic calming devices trialled</li> </ul> <b>(249 characters)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Permit assessment guidelines for use of vehicles in WTWHA: August 2001</li> <li>• Cassowary roadkill monitoring program: 1986 - 2010</li> <li>• Intensive (weekly) roadkill monitoring on key road 1991-1995; 2005-2007</li> <li>• Road and traffic research program 1991-2008</li> </ul> <b>(245 characters)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cassowary deaths: Dept of Environment and Resource Management (DERM)</li> <li>• Traffic counts: Dept of Main Roads (DMR), DERM, James Cook University (JCU)</li> <li>• Mitigation: JCU, DMR, WTMA, Dept of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities</li> </ul> <b>(250 characters)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Permit assessment guidelines for use of vehicles in WTWHA: <a href="http://www.wetropics.gov.au/mwha/mwha_pdf/s62_guidelines/s62_08motorvehiclesupdate.pdf">http://www.wetropics.gov.au/mwha/mwha_pdf/s62_guidelines/s62_08motorvehiclesupdate.pdf</a></li> <li>• Guidelines: <a href="http://www.rrrc.org.au/publications/roads_in_rainforest.html">http://www.rrrc.org.au/publications/roads_in_rainforest.html</a></li> </ul> <b>(223 characters)</b>

3.10 Climate change and severe weather events							
		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info/comment
3.10.6	Temperature change	<p>Criterion (i) representing the major stages of the earth's evolutionary history.</p> <p>Criterion (ii) ongoing biological evolution.</p> <p>Criterion (iv) significant habitats where threatened species still survive.</p> <p><b>(203 characters)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Climate Change in the Wet Tropics: Impacts and Responses (2008). WTMA</li> <li>National Climate Change Adaptation Research Facility: Terrestrial Biodiversity Network hosted by James Cook University</li> <li>Centre for Tropical Biodiversity and Climate Change</li> </ul> <p><b>(247 characters)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Network of microclimate &amp; biodiversity monitoring plots</li> <li>Network of climate change forest dynamics plots</li> <li>Wildlife disease/temperature response monitoring</li> <li>Temperature sensitivity of possums</li> <li>Lemuroid ringtail possum intensive monitoring</li> </ul> <p><b>(244 characters)</b></p>	<p>Wet Tropics climate change report: 2008</p> <p>CSIRO forest dynamics plot network 1971 –</p> <p>JCU microclimate/ biodiversity plot network 1995 –</p> <p>National adaptation research 2009 –</p> <p>Intensive lemuroid possum monitoring 2010 –</p> <p><b>(213 characters)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dept of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities: funding for monitoring programs</li> <li>JCU &amp; CSIRO: research and monitoring underpinning management responses</li> <li>WTMA: Wet Tropics Climate Change report; funding lemuroid monitoring</li> </ul> <p><b>(250 characters)</b></p>	<p>More information:</p> <p><a href="http://www.wettropics.gov.au/res/res_climate.html">http://www.wettropics.gov.au/res/res_climate.html</a></p> <p><a href="http://www.wettropics.gov.au/media/media_pdf/annual_reports/2008sowt_report_climatechange.pdf">http://www.wettropics.gov.au/media/media_pdf/annual_reports/2008sowt_report_climatechange.pdf</a></p> <p><a href="http://www.nccarf.edu.au/terrestrialbiodiversity">http://www.nccarf.edu.au/terrestrialbiodiversity</a></p> <p><a href="http://www.jcu.edu.au/ctbcc">www.jcu.edu.au/ctbcc</a></p> <p><b>(231 characters)</b></p>
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species							
		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info/comment
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	<p>Criterion (i) major stages of the earth's evolutionary</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Priority pest species identified in Statutory plan</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Biosecurity Queensland + CSIRO: Monitoring and modelling of weed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National Siam Weed eradication program: 1995 -</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Weed eradication and control: Australian Dept Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF),</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Weeds of National Significance: <a href="http://www.weeds.org.au/n">http://www.weeds.org.au/n</a></li> </ul>

		<p>history</p> <p>Criterion (ii) biological evolution</p> <p>Criterion (iii) superlative natural phenomena, exceptional natural beauty</p> <p>Criterion (iv) habitats where threatened species still survive</p> <p><b>(236 characters)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National Siam Weed &amp; 4 Tropical Weeds Eradication Programs</li> <li>• General weed control programs</li> <li>• Weeds of National Significance control programs</li> <li>• Feral pig trapping program</li> </ul> <p><b>(225 characters)</b></p>	<p>eradication programs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CSIRO: Modelling of patterns of dispersal and spread of weeds species</li> <li>• QPWS: Pond apple control monitoring</li> <li>• WTMA: public feral deer sightings</li> </ul> <p><b>(234 characters)</b></p>	<p>2012</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National 4 Tropical Weeds eradication program: 2004 - 2012</li> <li>• Coordinated feral pig trapping programs: 1989 – ongoing</li> <li>• General weed priorities and control: ongoing</li> </ul> <p><b>(220 characters)</b></p>	<p>Biosecurity Queensland (BQ), QPWS, local government (LG)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pig control: QPWS, local government</li> <li>• Pest priorities: BQ, DAFF, QPWS, WTMA, LG</li> </ul> <p><b>(231 characters)</b></p>	<p><a href="#">atsig.htm</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Siam weed and 4 tropical weeds national eradication programs: <a href="http://www.daff.gov.au/animal-plant-health/pests-diseases-weeds/weeds/eradication">http://www.daff.gov.au/animal-plant-health/pests-diseases-weeds/weeds/eradication</a></li> </ul> <p><b>(215 characters)</b></p>
--	--	---	---	--	--	---	---

3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species							
		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info/comment
3.12.3	Invasive / alien freshwater species	<p>Criterion (i) representing the major stages of the earth's evolutionary history.</p> <p>Criterion (ii) ongoing biological evolution. Criterion</p> <p>(iv) significant habitats where threatened species still survive.</p> <p><b>(203 characters)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chytrid fungus declared a key threatening process and protocols in place</li> <li>• Limnocharis flava eradication program</li> <li>• Tilapia (Oreochromis mossambicus + Tilapia mariae) research, control and eradication programs</li> <li>• Education campaigns</li> </ul> <p><b>(233 characters)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biosecurity Queensland: limnocharis and other aquatic pests</li> <li>• Dept. Environment and Resource Management (DERM)/JCU: frog distribution and chytrid monitoring</li> <li>• Dept. Employment, Economic Development and Innovation (DEEDI): Tilapia monitoring</li> </ul> <p><b>(243 characters)</b></p>	<p>Limnocharis flava eradication program: 2001-2012</p> <p><b>(49 characters)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DEEDI: Tilapia surveillance &amp; education campaign</li> <li>• Terrain NRM: integrated management of tilapia</li> <li>• DERM: chytrid fungus protocols and permit restrictions</li> <li>• Dept of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities: chytrid fungus</li> </ul> <p><b>(245 characters)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tilapia: <a href="http://www.dpi.qld.gov.au/28_13879.htm">http://www.dpi.qld.gov.au/28_13879.htm</a></li> <li>• Limnocharis: <a href="http://www.daff.gov.au/animal-plant-health/pests-diseases-weeds/weeds/eradication">http://www.daff.gov.au/animal-plant-health/pests-diseases-weeds/weeds/eradication</a></li> <li>• Chytrid: <a href="http://www.derm.qld.gov.au/register/p02905aa.pdf">http://www.derm.qld.gov.au/register/p02905aa.pdf</a></li> </ul> <p><b>(207 characters)</b></p>

## 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

Section 5.2 will help you highlight the main management needs that you identified in Part 4. It will allow for corrective measures that you are currently using to address those management needs to be discussed and will record the proposed future action(s) you intend to take to address these issues.

### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

When you are using the electronic tool this section will list the six most important management needs identified in question 4.9. In this summary section you will then be asked to discuss in the text boxes provided what **actions** are being taken to resolve these management needs; what the **timeframe** for carrying out these actions is and the **lead agency (and others involved)** in undertaking these activities. There is also space to discuss any further information or make any additional **comment** regarding each factor. Please note these text boxes have a limit on the number of characters used, this limit will appear as you type in your response.

***The answers you provided have not outlined any serious management need, therefore this table is empty.***

## 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

### 5.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

Considering the answers given in part 4 of this questionnaire on protection, management and monitoring of the property and the summary of factors affecting the property (question 5.1) and management needs (question 5.2) please choose the answer which best describes the current state of authenticity of the World Heritage property.

Check only one box		
5.3.1.1	<b>Not applicable</b> (for sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.3.1.2	The authenticity of the World Heritage property <b>has been lost</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.3.1.3	The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been <b>seriously compromised</b> by factors described in this report	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.3.1.4	The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been <b>compromised</b> by factors described in this report	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.3.1.5	The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been <b>preserved</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### 5.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

Considering the answers given in part 4 of this questionnaire on protection, management and monitoring of the property and the summary of factors affecting the property (question 5.1) and management needs (question 5.2) please choose the answer which best describes the current state of integrity of the World Heritage property.



Check only one box		
5.3.2.1	The integrity of the World Heritage property has been <b>lost</b>	
5.3.2.2	The integrity of the World Heritage property has been <b>seriously compromised</b> by factors described in this report	
5.3.2.3	The integrity of the World Heritage property has been <b>compromised</b> by factors described in this report	
5.3.2.4	The integrity of the World Heritage property is <b>intact</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**5.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

Considering the answers given in part 4 of this questionnaire on protection, management and monitoring of the property and the summary of factors affecting the property (question 5.1) and management needs (question 5.2) please choose the answer which best describes the current state of the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property.

Check only one box		
5.3.3.1	The World Heritage property has <b>lost</b> its Outstanding Universal Value ("the characteristics which determined its inclusion in the World Heritage List")	
5.3.3.2	The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been <b>seriously impacted</b> by factors described in this report, but this situation can be addressed, or is currently being addressed through management actions.	
5.3.3.3	The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been <b>impacted</b> by factors described in this report, but this situation is being <b>addressed through effective management actions</b> .	
5.3.3.4	The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been <b>maintained</b> .	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### 5.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Considering the answers given in part 4 of this questionnaire on protection, management and monitoring of the property and the summary of factors affecting the property (question 5.1) and management needs (question 5.2) please choose the answer which best describes the current state of other important cultural and/or natural values of the World Heritage property.

Check only one box		
5.3.4.1	Other important cultural and/or natural values are being <b>severely degraded</b> and have had an impact on the state of conservation of the World Heritage property	
5.3.4.2	Other important cultural and/or natural values are being <b>degraded</b> and have had an impact on the state of conservation of the World Heritage property	
5.3.4.3	Other important cultural and/or natural values are being <b>partially degraded</b> but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted	
5.3.4.4	Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are <b>predominantly intact</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

### 5.4.1 - Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

Please provide any additional comments about the overall state of conservation of the World Heritage property after considering your answers to part 5. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

## 6. Conclusions of Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status on each of the listed areas, rating the level of impact from negative impact to very positive impact; or by not applicable where appropriate.

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 Negative	2 No impact	3 Positive	4 Very positive
6.1.1	Conservation				4	Very positive
6.1.2	Research and monitoring				4	Very positive
6.1.3	Management effectiveness				4	Very positive
6.1.4	Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples				4	Very positive
6.1.5	Recognition				3	Positive
6.1.6	Education				3	Positive
6.1.7	Infrastructure development				3	Positive
6.1.8	Funding for the property				3	Positive
6.1.9	International cooperation				2	No impact
6.1.10	Political support for conservation				3	Positive
6.1.11	Legal/Policy framework				4	Very positive
6.1.12	Lobbying				3	Positive
6.1.13	Institutional coordination				4	Very positive
6.1.14	Security				4	Very positive
6.1.15	Other (please specify)					

### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Considering the answer to question 6.1. above please use this box to make any comments, i.e. explanation of the answer provided, sources of information used, and/or conclusions or recommendations about the information related to World Heritage status. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

**6.3 - Entities involved in the Preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report (tick as many boxes as applicable)**

This question aims to determine all groups/individuals involved in preparing the current periodic report. Please give details of any 'others' involved in the comment section (question 6.11).

You can check multiple boxes		
6.3.1	Governmental institution responsible for the property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.3.2	Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.3.3	Staff from other World Heritage properties	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.3.4	Non Governmental Organization	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.3.5	Indigenous peoples	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.3.6	Local community	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.3.7	Donors	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.3.8	External experts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.3.9	Advisory bodies	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.3.10	Others	<input type="checkbox"/>

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

Please consider here the format of the questions and language used.

Check only one box		
6.4.1	yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.4.2	no	<input type="checkbox"/>

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

Please provide any suggestions for improvements in future periodic reporting questionnaires and on-line tools. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

Considerable improvement on first round of Periodic Reporting

Guidelines could be significantly refined - still high level of ambiguity

There were strong views expressed by Traditional Rainforest Aboriginal People that the wording of the questions were not appropriate in reflecting Aboriginal interests, cultural perspectives or aspirations.

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

Please rate the level of support provided by the three groups listed.

Rating on a 5 point scale		0 Very poor	1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very good
6.6.1	UNESCO					
6.6.2	State Party Representative				3	Good
6.6.3	Advisory body					

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Please choose the answer that most closely matches your experiences regarding accessibility of the information required to complete this Periodic Report.

Check only one box		
6.7.1	<b>Little</b> of the required information was accessible	
6.7.2	<b>Not all</b> of the required information was accessible	
6.7.3	<b>Most</b> of the required information was accessible	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.7.4	<b>All</b> required information was accessible	

**6.8 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?**

Please check those boxes where you feel that the Periodic Reporting exercise has improved the understating of each of the World Heritage elements.

You can check multiple boxes		
6.8.1	The World Heritage Convention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.8.2	The concept of Outstanding Universal Value	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.8.3	The property's Outstanding Universal Value	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.8.4	The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.8.5	The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.8.6	Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.8.7	Monitoring and reporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.8.8	Management effectiveness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

Each periodic reporting exercise should generate a range of recommendations and follow-up actions with regard to the conservation of the property. In this question you are asked to rank the implementation of these conclusions and recommendations by a range of actors on a four point scale.

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not Applicable	1 None	2 Unsatisfactory	3 Satisfactory	4 Excellent
6.9.1	UNESCO				1	None
6.9.2	State Party				1	None
6.9.3	Site Managers					
6.9.4	Advisory bodies					

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

This question summarises any changes that need to be made concerning information on the World Heritage property that has been highlighted in this Periodic Report (e.g. any changes to the information pre-filled in questions 1.1, 2.2, etc) and which needs to be agreed by the World Heritage Committee.

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value/Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: A Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was submitted to the World Heritage Centre on 1 February 2011, awaiting World Heritage Committee approval in June 2012.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

Please use this box to make any comments, i.e. explanation of the answers provided, sources of information used, and/or conclusions or recommendations about the information related to the assessment of the periodic reporting exercise. Please note this text box has a limit on the number of characters used, which will appear as you type in your response.

**7. Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your focal point for validation. Yours sincerely, the World Heritage Centre.**